Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/834,308	ZAIDI, SALEEM H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian L. Mutschler	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application	: <u>After Final</u>
(1) Brian L. Mutschler.	(3)	
(2) <u>Samuel Freund</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 March 2004	Time: 2:30pm	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed: US 4,419,533 (Czubatyj)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To distinguish the instant claims over the prior art, it was suggested that the independent claims be amended to clarify how the light propagates closer to the light incident surface of the device. Specifically, incorporating limitations based on the teachings of section 9 of the specification would distinguish the instant claims over the prior art of record by specifying that a majoirty of inclident light is coupled into diffraction orders propagating at an angle greater than 42 degrees. As disclosed on page 15, enhanced absorption can be achieved by coupling maximum energy into diffraction orders propagating at large angles.